G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—20 12 13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1898-1899
~~191~~ .

( Muzrai )

**File No.** 67 (Section II

**Serial Nos.** 1-3

# Papers Filed.

*Month* February 1906

SUBJECT.

Sanctions the appointment of one Srinivasa Iyengar as Archaka of the Sri Lakshmi Narayana & Sri Anjaneyaswamy Temples at Chittanahalli Gubbi Taluk.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES LATER REFERENCES.

(9)



Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Note contd:—

"X"

V:i:e Section
reg 0. I of Dec 97
67 of 1898

The dewan's orders recorded on page 6 of this note were issued in Govt. Pro: No. "X" 3829-30 dt 7th June 1906.

Hi no 574 dt 15.12.05.
Hay R.

The Dy Commr reports that the lands have been taken possession of from the alienees & restored to the Instns concerned. He further reports that one Veerabasiengar, a competent & willing Archak (who is alleged to have also a claim to the office) has been selected & appointed to both the Instns & that the lands which yield a poor income have been put in his possession. As regards the appointment of a Dharmadarsi, orders are solicited & submitted with a draft order.

Hd Records — Rs 13/1/06 to the Dewan through the 2nd Mr } T Muthaiah Chetty 15/1/06

161 17.1.06. 10885 20.1.06

Draft — may be approved appd by Dewan 19/1/06 Issue LL 9/2/06 LL 20/1/06

PJO.

| File No. | of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat. |
|---|---|---|

MGCPB—2,500—1-8-05.

P. J O.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
3206.

1903-04 Sl 1

From K Doraswami Iyer Esq,
offg Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District

To M. Shama Rao Esq, M.A,
offg Secretary to the Govt of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzarai Department.

Dated 14th
Despatched } June 1904
Received

General No. 646
No.

Enclosure No. 2

Has the honor to return herewith the 2 petitions, one presented to the Muzarai Secretary and the other to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, which instead of being filed in the Muzarai Secretary's office were sent, probably through an oversight, along with the vernacular file as enclosures to the Copy of Proceedings No. 3839-30 dated the 7th instant

B.B. Shama Rao
offg for offg. Deputy Commissioner
of Tumkur -

Muz. 5]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

190

*From*

*The Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.*

*To* The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District

*Dated*

*Despatched* 16.11.05

*Received*

**Enclosures No.**

*General No.* 7327
*M. No.*

~~Govt Pro.~~ ~~This Office~~ No. 3829-30 dated 7th June 1904, calling for a report regarding the alienation of the inam lands endowed to Anjaneya & Lakshmi Narayana Swami ~~and subsequent reminder marginally noted~~ temples at Chikmakalli in the Gubbi Taluk and the better management of the institution in question.——

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request to be informed as to what action has been taken in the matter and when a reply may be expected.

By Order

16/11/05

*Secretary,*
*Mazrai Department.*

25/11/05

1905/6 Sl 2




From
K. Doraswami Iyer Esq:,
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

To
L. Anantharamu Rao Esq: B.
Secretary to the Govt. of H.
H. the Maharaja of Mysore

Dated 15th } Ihujarai dept.
Despatched 16th December/05

Received

General No. 574
No.

Enclosure No. 1 file

Refer please

W 8/12/05

Note prepared & submitted with a draft.

W

Vide Section I of Inam R. of No. 67 of 1894

According to Govt Pro: no: Inam: 3829–30 dated the 7th June 1904 calling for a further report regarding the alienation of the Inam lands endowed to Sri Anjanaiyaswamy and Lakshminarayanaswamy temples at Chitanahalli in the Gubbi Taluk and proposals for the better management of the institutions, the undersigned has the honor to state as follows —

2

2 The Devādāya Inam lands, enjoyed to the two institutions in question were, as ordered in para 4 of the Govt. Proceedings under reply, taken possession of from the aliences concerned and restored to the respective institutions, though with some difficulty and consequent delay. Proper arrangements were made for the conduct of the Pooja etc in the temples immediately on receipt of the Govt. order

3. A competent and willing Archak by name Sreenivasiengar has been selected and appointed for the place and he is reported to have been performing regular worship in the temples. He is besides ascertained to be a claimant to the archakship of these two temples as he alleges that his forefathers were recognized archaks thereof from time immemorial (Vide Geological tree, paper no: 13, in the file).

4 The appointments of separate archaks for the two different

institutions was first contemplated but as since opined both by the amildar and the Assistant Comr. in charge of the Taluk the idea was given up and only one individual, viz, Srecnivasiengar nominated for both the temples as mentioned above. He has also been put in possession of the Inam Lands since resumed and restored to the institutions. These Lands are reported to be inferior, yielding a poor income. Separate proposals for the appointment of a Dharmadarsee to Supervise the affairs of the temples will be submitted if such a step is deemed necessary.

5. The entire file of Vernacular correspondence ending with the 2nd assistant Comrs. Yadi no: 895, 57. the 12th May 1905, is herewith forwarded for reference and favor of return. —

For Deputy Commissioner

Mysore

Sl 3

Read:—

Govt Pro: no 3829–30 dy 7th June 1904, passing orders on the unauthorized alienation of the inam lands endowed to the temples of Sri Lakshmi Narayanaswami & Anjaneyaswami in Chikmahalli, Gubbi Taluk.

And,

Docket no 574 dy 15th Dec: 05 from the Dy Commr Tumkur Dt:, reporting that the Devadaya inam lands were taken possession of & from the alienees & restored to the institutions concerned and that a competent and

11066
3.2.06

Issued
9/2/06
Camp Mysore

Recd 7-2-06

willing Archak by name
Sreenivasiengar has been
selected and appointed
to the office and that the
inam lands of the institutions
yielding a poor income have
been put in possession of
the Archak who has been
regularly performing Puja
in the two temples.

---

Order thereon :— No. 33/08/13.2 M.
by e mail.

The D. Commrs action
is approved.

2. Separate proposals
regarding the appointment

of Dharmadarsi's

~~case awaited~~ may be

~~separately~~ submitted, if the Dy Comr thinks it necessary to have Dharmadarsis to issue

Questns.

Legislative Dept

13/1/06 - W/Reports

To Mr Dy Secretary

Municipal Records